



Kyoto Protocol

- Kyoto Protocol to reduce Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions was adopted December 1997.
 - Industrialized countries were to reduce emissions.
 - Developing countries were not expected to reduce emissions except via the Clean Development Mechanism.
 - Supported by the Clinton/Gore administration.
 - Never brought before the U.S. Senate because it was expected to fail ratification.



Livestock's Long Shadow

- Published by the UN Food and Agriculture Organisation in 2006.
- Oft quoted as saying 18% of all GHG emissions come from meat consumption.
- Authors admit, in 2010, that their methodology was inconsistent.



Extension

Massachusetts v US EPA

- Supreme Court ruled in 2007 that "Under the clear terms of the Clean Air Act, EPA can avoid taking action only if it determines that greenhouse gases do not contribute to climate change..."
- December 2009 the EPA published the final rule indicating they found that GHGs contributed to climate change and had adverse health effects.

The EPA Regulatory Actions

- Proposed that those emitting over 100,000 tons of CO₂ equivalents after July 2011 be required to obtain a permit to do so.
 - Estimated that no crop and livestock operations will need a permit
- Already requiring emission reporting for those emitting over 25,000 tons of CO₂ equivalents.
 - Estimated that 107 livestock operations will need to report.
 - Congress forbid the EPA to spend money to enforce this in agriculture



The Legislative Situation

- Unless Congress acts, the EPA will regulate GHG as it sees fit.
- Current Congressional action to create a capand-trade system for GHG emissions
 - Waxman-Market bill passed the U.S. House of Representatives
 - Kerry-Lieberman proposal is a comprehensive cap-and-trade bill

Extension

 Kerry currently is proposing an emissions cap on utilities only















Government

- Determines according to policy objectives:
 - Who is subject to a cap
 - Who can provide offsets
 - What the caps are and when they are to be reached
 - Market Rules
- The Chicago Climate Exchange currently determines these – as a market rather than as a regulator.



Capped Sources in the Market

		RGGI	ССХ
Electric Power Generation	Yes	Yes	Voluntary
Energy Intensive Manufacturing	Yes	No	Voluntary
ndirect GHG emitters (e.g. businesses with negligible GHG emissions)	No	No	Voluntary









Offset Providers

- Determined by government according to policy considerations
 - Power generation without GHG emissions
 - Methane Destruction emitters too small to regulate who voluntarily reduce GHG emissions in order to participate in the market
 - Carbon sequestration
 - International projects to help developing countries reduce emissions

Extension

Offset Providers Allowed in the Market

	EU ETS	RGGI	ССХ
Landfills	No	Yes	Yes
Manure Storage	No	Yes	Yes
Developing country projects	Yes	No	No
Soil Sequestration	No	No	Yes

Waxman-Markey American Clean Energy and Security Act of 2009 (Sec 732 (e)) "An offset credit does not constitute a property right."



- Determined by government according to policy considerations
- Permitted trading region:
 - Regional?
 - National?
 - International?
- Initial allocation of allowances greatly affects market performance



Principles for Greenhouse Gas Legislation (con't)

- 5. The use of domestic offsets must not be artificially limited.
- 6. Carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas mitigation rates must be based on sound science.
- 7. Any cap-and-trade legislation must provide an initial list of project types that are eligible agricultural offsets.
- 8. Legislation should recognize early actors.
- 9. Legislation should not prohibit stackable credits or participation in multiple programs when multiple benefits are achieved for the same practice.



Ray Massey Commercial Ag Program Crops Economist

Greenhouse Gas Markets and Agriculture

UNIVERSITY OF MISSOUR Extension