Comparison of County ARC and SCO

Scott Gerlt (<u>gerlts@missouri.edu</u>) Research Associate and Pat Westhoff (<u>westhoffp@missouri.edu</u>) Director, Food and Agricultural Policy Research Institute University of Missouri <u>www.fapri.missouri.edu</u>

AAEA Crop Insurance and the Farm Bill Symposium Louisville, Kentucky October 8-9, 2014





SCO, ARC and PLC in the new farm bill

Methodology

Results





Policy changes for crop producers

- No more direct, countercyclical or ACRE program payments
- Reallocate base acres and update program yields
 - One time choice, for the life of the farm bill
 - Decision made by land owner
- Must choose (1 time for life of farm bill) between
 - Price loss coverage (PLC, payments when prices fall below a trigger)
 - Agriculture risk coverage (ARC, payments when per-acre revenues fall below a trigger)
 - Decision made by producers
- New crop insurance options
 - STAX for upland cotton producers
 - Supplemental Coverage Option (SCO) for crops not in ARC or STAX

ARC-PLC choice

- Producers on each farm can choose
 - An individual farm version of ARC (ARC-IC) for all crops with base on the farm OR
 - For each crop with base on a farm, they can choose between the county version of ARC (ARC-CO) or PLC
- Choice is made once for the life of the farm bill
- If producers on a farm do not agree on a choice
 - No ARC or PLC benefits are available in 2014
 - PLC is only remaining option for 2015 and beyond

Price loss coverage (PLC)

- Like countercyclical payments in some respects
 - Makes payments when prices fall below a trigger
 - Payments tied to base acreage and program yields
 - These generally do **NOT** depend on current production choices
 - Except for those with former cotton base or with fruits and vegetables, planting more or less of a given crop will have NO effect on payments
- But different in many ways, including
 - Formula changes slightly: Max (o, (Reference price-max(MYA price, loan rate)) * program yield * base acres (and allocated generic base) * 0.85
 - Reference prices are far higher than old target prices



Agriculture risk coverage (ARC)

- Like ACRE in some respects
 - Makes payments when per-acre revenues fall below a trigger
 - Trigger depends on moving averages of market prices and yields
- But different in many ways, including
 - Paid on base acreage, not planted
 - Tied to county or farm yields, not state
 - Covers losses of 14-24% (ACRE was 10-35%)
 - Don't have to give up direct payments to participate
 - No loan rate penalty

ARC in more detail

- Payments if per-acre revenues fall below 86% of benchmark
- Benchmark:
 - County: 5-yr. Olympic avg. national price * 5-yr. Olympic avg. county yield
 - Individual: Weighted average of the 5-yr Olympic average revenues for the farm
 - Annual prices used are higher of farm price or reference price
- Maximum payment: 10% of benchmark (covers 76-86%)
- Paid on 85% (county yield option) or 65% (farm yield option) of base acres (not planted)



Supplemental coverage option (SCO)

- On top of regular individual coverage (must have individual policy)
- Area-based insurance for range between 86% and individual coverage level
- 65% subsidized
- Only available for crops not in ARC or STAX



SCO, continued

- Intended to be rated actuarially fair
- Starts in 2015 (NOT available in 2014); not available in all counties for all crops
- Operates like underlying individual policy
- Payments are scaled to farm by county loss rate
 - Implication: farms with higher APH can get larger indemnities for a given coverage level and county loss rate



County ARC vs. SCO

Similarities

- Coverage begins at 86%
- Operate at the county level
- Can both be revenue based

Differences

- Producers pay 35% of SCO premium; ARC is "free"
- SCO utilizes a planting price determined by futures markets while ARC uses Olympic avg. price with floors in determining guarantee
- SCO uses trend yield while ARC uses Olympic avg. yield in determining guarantee
- ARC and PLC have \$125,000 payment limitation; SCO does not
- ARC payment rate cannot exceed 10% of benchmark; SCO payment rate limit depends on underlying insurance coverage
- SCO pays on planted acres while ARC pays on base

Analysis assumptions

- Each county is represented by a single farm
 - Gerlt, et al. (2014) found bias to be small at high coverage levels
- Planted and base area equal
- All payment yields updated
- Underlying crop insurance coverage levels do not change
- All crop insurance policies are Revenue Protection
 - 87% of 2013 insured corn acres were RP
- SCO is assumed (counterfactually) to be available beginning in 2014



Calculating benefits

- With a targeted average loss ratio of one, the SCO premium should equal the average indemnity
- Estimate payments and indemnities from 2014 to 2018 for corn, soybeans and wheat
- Yields used in calculations
 - 1980 to 2013 yields per planted acre from NASS (per harvested for corn and wheat)
 - FSA ACRE data used to augment recent years
 - If county did not have at least 15 observations in the last 20 years, it was dropped

Yields, continued

- Each county and state yield were regressed against a linear trend to get both forecasted yields and standard deviation of errors
- Missing county residuals were estimated by regressing county yields against state yields
- Using Latin hypercube, 500 normally distributed draws were obtained for each county, crop and year
 - Normal easy to work with
 - RMA assumes yield normality for farm yields



- Prices taken from FAPRI-MU stochastic baseline
 - Model consists of approximately 2,000 equations for crops, livestock, biofuels, etc.
 - Correlated draws of selected exogenous variables (both supply and demand shifters) used to generate 500 solutions for endogenous variables
 - Thus 500 farm prices for each commodity in each year
- Correlating county yields and national prices
 - Correlations derived from detrended yields and FAPRI-MU prices
 - For more information, see Gerlt and Westhoff (2013)



 FAPRI-MU farm average price projections per bushel (March baseline), marketing year

| | 14/15 | 15/16 | 16/17 | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 21/22 | 22/23 | 23/24 |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Corn | 4.17 | 4.09 | 4.07 | 4.06 | 4.04 | 4.02 | 3.97 | 3.93 | 3.92 | 3.87 |
| Soybeans | 9.84 | 9.80 | 9.68 | 9.68 | 9.77 | 9.85 | 9.87 | 9.94 | 9.89 | 9.88 |
| Wheat | 5.55 | 5.37 | 5.32 | 5.31 | 5.28 | 5.26 | 5.25 | 5.23 | 5.23 | 5.21 |

Note: More recent FAPRI-MU deterministic projections show lower 2014/15 and 2015/16 corn prices, but most stories are not very different than the March estimates

2014/15 corn yields and prices FAPRI-MU stochastic baseline, March 2014



2014/15 corn yields and prices FAPRI-MU stochastic baseline, March 2014



2014/15 corn yields and prices FAPRI-MU stochastic baseline, March 2014



U.S. farm prices for corn





U.S. farm and futures prices for corn





- A correlation matrix is built for each commodity with all county yields and national prices
- Matrix is both overspecified and combines multiple data sources, so PSD fails
 - Use Higham (2002) to find nearest "true" correlation matrix
- Use Iman and Conover's (1982) method to rearranged prices and yields to impose correlation
 - Given that FAPRI-MU's prices are exogenous, a reordering algorithm is more appropriate than data generating algorithms that use copulas



Futures prices

- Harvest price obtained directly from farm price
 - Corn: harvest price = farm price + \$.103
 - Soybeans: harvest price = farm price + \$.067
 - Wheat: harvest price = farm price + \$.209
- Planting price based used random draws from log-normal distribution centered on the harvest price
 - Historical volatility calculated based upon 1980 to 2012 market outcomes
 - Volatilities: 0.1899 for corn, 0.1730 for soybeans and 0.1844 for wheat



 We assumed that 2013 crop insurance participation rates would continue

| Coverage level | Corn | Soybeans | Wheat |
|----------------|-------|----------|-------|
| 50% | 6.6% | 8.5% | 9.0% |
| 55% | 0.3% | 0.4% | 0.6% |
| 60% | 1.9% | 1.8% | 4.8% |
| 65% | 6.8% | 7.0% | 15.0% |
| 70% | 20.1% | 21.2% | 35.0% |
| 75% | 29.2% | 31.3% | 24.6% |
| 80% | 22.1% | 20.5% | 6.5% |
| 85% | 10.9% | 7.6% | 4.1% |
| 90% | 2.1% | 1.7% | 0.4% |



Results

Caveats

- Only averages reported, will not hold for
 - Particular farms in particular counties
 - With different ratios of planted to base acreage
- Different price assumptions could significantly alter results



Corn payments

Corn avg. payments per base or planted acre

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ARC | \$33.79 | \$35.98 | \$31.49 | \$19.94 | \$15.99 |
| SCO | \$17.55 | \$15.16 | \$14.27 | \$14.33 | \$14.76 |
| PLC | \$15.94 | \$25.12 | \$29.76 | \$30.35 | \$30.01 |
| SCO+PLC | \$31.09 | \$36.50 | \$39.53 | \$40.10 | \$40.25 |

Corn frequency of payments (share of outcomes)

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ARC | 61.9% | 63.5% | 57.5% | 41.3% | 35.8% |
| SCO | 29.7% | 28.2% | 27.8% | 26.8% | 28.7% |
| PLC | 30.6% | 36.8% | 39.2% | 40.4% | 40.8% |
| SCO+PLC | 44.4% | 50.5% | 52.4% | 53.2% | 53.6% |



Soybean payments

Soybean avg. payments per base or planted acre

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ARC | \$20.98 | \$21.93 | \$20.91 | \$17.39 | \$12.57 |
| SCO | \$9.09 | \$8.99 | \$8.93 | \$8.79 | \$9.25 |
| PLC | \$9.99 | \$12.79 | \$14.96 | \$16.10 | \$15.73 |
| SCO+PLC | \$17.59 | \$19.87 | \$21.65 | \$22.49 | \$22.64 |

Soybean frequency of payments

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ARC | 58.5% | 59.3% | 57.1% | 49.7% | 39.3% |
| SCO | 26.2% | 26.1% | 26.1% | 25.9% | 26.4% |
| PLC | 24.6% | 31.2% | 30.6% | 33.8% | 30.4% |
| SCO+PLC | 41.6% | 46.9% | 45.0% | 46.9% | 46.0% |

Wheat payments

Wheat avg. payments per base or planted acre

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| ARC | \$10.42 | \$12.88 | \$13.02 | \$10.98 | \$8.55 |
| SCO | \$8.48 | \$8.19 | \$7.90 | \$7.95 | \$7.69 |
| PLC | \$11.46 | \$19.14 | \$19.33 | \$20.07 | \$21.03 |
| SCO+PLC | \$18.24 | \$24.47 | \$24.34 | \$25.02 | \$25.57 |

Wheat frequency of payments

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ARC | 53.7% | 62.3% | 61.8% | 56.5% | 48.0% |
| SCO | 31.9% | 32.4% | 32.5% | 31.3% | 31.6% |
| PLC | 46.8% | 57.2% | 59.4% | 60.0% | 59.2% |
| SCO+PLC | 57.7% | 65.9% | 69.4% | 68.1% | 68.7% |



Corn distributions



Corn distributions with PLC



Soybean distributions



Soybean distributions with PLC



Wheat distributions



Wheat distributions with PLC



Conclusions

- SCO and ARC both provide a safety net
- ARC benefits generally exceed SCO net indemnities for corn, soybeans and wheat
- In many cases, the sum of PLC payments and SCO net indemnities exceed ARC benefits
- Results are sensitive to
 - Price projections
 - Individual crop insurance coverage levels
 - Much more



Thanks!

- FAPRI-MU website: <u>www.fapri.missouri.edu</u>
- To contact us:
 - Pat Westhoff: 573-882-4647
 - westhoffp@missouri.edu
- Rest of FAPRI-MU full-time staff
 - Julian Binfield
 - Sera Chiuchiarelli
 - Deepayan Debnath
 - Lauren Jackson
 - Willi Meyers
 - Wyatt Thompson
 - Jarrett Whistance
 - Peter Zimmel

Scott Gerlt: 573-882-1927 gerlts@missouri.edu



