**AAEA George Goldman Award for Excellence in Regional Economic Development - Award Rubric**

**Individual/Team Name(s): \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Project/Program/Publication Name: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Interested individuals will submit a journal article, Extension publication, report, or program that was published/completed in the previous three years accompanied by a one-page letter describing the impact of the project by email to the CRENET Chair.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Item |  | Weight | Score |
|  | | | | |
| Identification of Timely Issue(s) | Was the article, report or program framed around an issue identified as a priority by a federal or state agency? Community? Key community and economic development partner? | 15 |  |
| Methods & Integration of Data Analysis | How were data and regional methods used to answer, inform or describe the issue or question at hand? Were data, graphs, figures and maps used effectively in the narrative/program? | 25 |  |
| Quality of Communication | Were the article and/or program well framed for the intended audience(s)? Communicated in an innovative manner? | 25 |  |
| Letter of Nomination/Recommendation | How well motivated was the award by the nominating party? Did they make a strong case for the impact of this work to the literature and/or stakeholders? | 20 |  |
| Nominees CRENET Membership/Involvement | Is the nominee(s) current member(s)? (0 score if not)  How long have they been a member/involved?  (6 pts. based on involvement, 6 pts. based on length of membership & 3 pts based on any leadership roles) | 15 |  |
| Total Score |  | **100** |  |